THE EISENHOWER LIBRARY
3 1151 02678 6974

English Institutions and the American Indian.

By James A. James.

615-43

Preface.

The Indian Department of our government, in regard to its varied changes, finds a prototype, at times rude and again well developed, in one or more of the original colonies. There is to be found in the factory system, laws of trade, provisions for the acquisition of lands, annuities, presents, education, recreations, interpreters, and Indian agents; the United States government a very considerable of early colonial legislation.

The Indian has always been a subject for much discussion. The literature

on the "Indian Problem" has ever been surpassed by that relating to any other topic, except perhaps Slavery. Writers who have chosen this theme may be placed in two general groups: the one criticises any attempt to mediate the conviction of the Indian through any peaceful interruption; the other group sees only a series of wrongs from the first landing of the White-man, — the Indian dispossessed of his birth-right, enslaved and degraded.

It is not my purpose to [illegible]

further than to note the
[illegible] set forth in the various
[illegible] legislative enactments. I
[illegible] to compile a similar
[illegible] for
every colony but have
chosen Massachusetts, New
York, and Virginia [illegible],
noting any important differences
or variations which may
[illegible] in the other
colonies. While presenting the
[illegible] of each separately it
[illegible] to the French colonies. By
such a comparison, common
institutions become

Bibliography.

American State Papers I, II, III,
" " " IV, V, VI
Allen, "American Indians".

Bancroft I.
Bandelier, Historical Introduction
to Spanish Documents.
B. A. E. Studies VII.
Boyd Mss. Collection I – X. in
Historical Society Library
Cincinnati.
Brainard, "Brainerd, Edwards";
Butterfield, Discovery of the
Northwest".
Byrd Mss. II, III.

Charlevoix (Shea) II, III, VII.
Clark, "Indian Sign Language".

[illegible], [illegible].
Collections 1877.
Connecticut Colonial Records, 1665-1677.
" " " 1713.

[illegible] I, II.
Drake, "Biography and History of the Indians of North America".

Elias, The Red Man and the White man in North America.

Fiske, Discovery of America I, II.
Force Papers, I, II, III.
Franklin's Works II, III. Bigelow ed.

Gallatin, "Indian Languages".
Gale, "[illegible]".

Minnesota Historical Coll. V.

[illegible]

Norris, "History of Henry in
Massachusetts"

Nuttall, "Annals of Albany". II, III.
" " III, IV.

New York Documentary History, I. II. IV.
" " Col. Documents, II, III,
" IV, V, VI, VII, VIII.

New York Hist. Society Coll. Vol. II.

North American Review, April, 1873.

Nova Britannia. II.

[illegible]

Parkman, "Jesuits in North America"
" "Pioneers of France".

Parkman, "New France under Louis XIV".

Pelleg, "Hist. of [illegible] I, —.

Pennsylvania Archives VII.

" Colonial Records VIII.

" Laws 1722.

" Historical Society, Coll. III.

[illegible] I, —, —.

[illegible] II, I, V.

" " " IX, I, X.

Potts, "Indians in [illegible] I, 172-176.

Stith, History of Virginia, I.

Schoolcraft, "Archives of Aboriginal Knowledge".

" "Onondaga".

Turner, "Character and Influence of the Indian Trade in Wisconsin" [illegible] I.

Contents

Page

I Introduction 3.

II Scripture testimony. 7.

III. The French Policy. 15

IV. The English Policy 22

Land Purchases

1. In Massachusetts. 24

2. In New York. 29

3. In Pennsylvania. 31

4. In Virginia 34

V. Indian Management. 37

VI. Indian Trade. 41

1. In Plymouth colony. 43

Trade in Common. 45

2. In [illegible] 47

3. In Massachusetts Bay Colony 50

4. In Virginia. 54

Furs. 54

5. Trade in Fire-Arms. 57

6. Rum [illegible] 66

7. Criticisms on the Policy. 68
VI. Trading House System. 70
VII. Indian Slavery. 101
1. The Spanish Policy. 101
2. The English Policy. 1[illegible]
In Massachusetts. 105
In Virginia. 110
[illegible] 120
In Connecticut. 123.

Biographical 126.

Introduction.

The Indian, when seen by the first European, had reached the limit beyond the hunting, or fishing stage. Tribal right to any particular region over which the tribe roamed,[1] a territory thereon, rude dwellings were erected, can not be said to have been founded on any stronger basis than the right of present occupancy. The inheritance obtained in a [illegible] was [illegible].

The vast territory[2] east of the Mississippi river and between the Carolinas and

1. Gallatin, Indian Languages, 150
2. Parkman, Pioneers of France. 307

Hudson's Bay were divided
into [illegible] "Five Nations"
of Indians", the Algonquins and
the Iroquois. The earliest
territory of the Iroquois [illegible]
[illegible] of the State of New York. Almost
immediately surrounding the
Iroquois were the Algonquins.
They occupied New England,
nearly all of the North West
Territory and parts of Pennsylvania,
Virginia, and New Jersey. Canada,
New Brunswick, and Nova Scotia
were also in the possession of
[illegible]. The [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] the Mississippi [illegible] Lake Michigan."

[illegible] one class, the Indians south of the line, and westward from Lake Erie. The Europeans and their near cities would doubtless have embraced [illegible] portions of the present States of Tennessee, Alabama, Georgia, and the Carolinas."(b) The

1. Pre-Columbian, North American, p. 2.
2. For [illegible] see Blair, [illegible]

classification into the tribes
[illegible]
the most marked distinctions
between Indian languages".

1. Minnesota Hist. Soc. Coll. I:1

Iroquois Confederacy

The Iroquois, "the Indians of Indians, the thoroughly savage yet a juridical and understanding savage", as author a unique position in the history of the struggle for the mastery between the rival powers of France and England in the New World. During the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries the Confederated Five Nations held the balance of power between these two contestants. No treaty, promises, or acts of diplomacy on the part of the French ever fully broke the "chain" which bound the Iroquois to the English. Were it not for this leading position

. Joined by the Tuscaroras in 1715. This [illegible] grew to six nations

of the Iroquois to the French, New York might well have become Louis VII. In fact the whole current of American history would be different. "To the Indian league, France must chiefly ascribe the final overthrow of her magnificent schemes of colonization in America."[1] The Iroquois alliance with the English formed the chief fact in American history down to the year 1763. From it arose indirectly many vexed questions which were settled on the part of the government

1. Parkman, League of the Iroquois, II

of the United States, many years much diplomacy and bloodshed. Why was their policy more successful? Because they became the scourge of the Iroquois?[1]

At the time of the first French and English settlements they were rapidly decreasing the terror of the whole country. Surviving isolated tribes were fast rapidly under their power, met in rare wing, scattered in all directions. Says General Walker: It might not be too much to say that, but for the Iroquois,

1. Hodge, "Indian Invasions", N. A. Review (Oct. 1873) 377

the settlement of the country by
the Whites would not have been
taken place; yet assured,
that settlement would not
have been longer delayed, and
have been finally accom-
plished with far greater
[illegible]
but [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
driving out this after
[illegible]
night, for more than one
generation at least, have
stayed the return course
of [illegible]

John Smith met some of their canoes at the mouth of the Susquehanna river in the year 1608. They were then on the way to attack their enemies.[1] [illegible] [illegible] water and compelled [illegible] themselves under the protection of the [illegible].[2] [illegible] their Algonquin enemies [illegible] Iroquois. That they were [illegible] for by the presence of the [illegible]

Jefferson, Virginia. [illegible]

[illegible] under the records relating to Hamburg.

After the record of the [illegible] from the [illegible] Champlain, they regarded the "Sea of Canada," they say, in the meantime, the uncertainty of their situation and more willing to [illegible] any sacrifice to obtain it. Free traders from Holland traded a few guns to the Mohawks.[2] Four hundred warriors were soon [illegible].

Now began [illegible] which led to a treaty [illegible]

1. [illegible] = 35
2. [illegible] = 38

tribes east of the Mississippi river. Before the year 1670 they were victors over the Adirondacks of Canada, had kept also in awe, possession of the territory between lakes Huron, Erie and Ontario and extending [illegible] Montreal. No nation, could, says Morgan, by ruggedness was too obscure or difficult to escape their visitation; no enterprise was too perilous, no fatigue too great for their courage and endurance."[1]

The Iroquois claimed, by right of conquest, the territory reaching from Lake

1. Morgan, League of the Iroquois. 13

French Policy.

With reference to the general policy of the French, Governor Lewis Cass said: "There is a peculiar elasticity in French character, the Frenchman accommodates himself to any situation in which he may be placed. Throw him down at the North Cape, or in the East Indies, he will soon be at home, he has associated with their manners, habits, and he could not change them to his own standard, he would accommodate himself."

The French as a nation,

[illegible] sought to [illegible] the
[illegible] of their [illegible]
[illegible] tribes. They desired
to be the chief influence in
[illegible] and to [illegible]
[illegible] in the [illegible]
of French power. The most
[illegible] method, French
missionaries [illegible] of their
relations with the tribes.
Officers who administered
[illegible] on the necessities
of the situation and acted
in accordance, [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
similar to those by which
Spain gained supremacy in
the South. In time, the English
appropriated the means of

diplomacy which had been used by the French.

The alliance of Champlain, in 1603,[2] was, in a sense, the prototype of the future advancement of the nation he represented. He had been invited, he became indispensable to the Algonquins and the Hurons against their [illegible] foes.

Among the tribes he first found [illegible] his allies. This alliance with these tribes France carried out her scheme of colonization. [illegible] of goods at the posts.

1. N. Y. Col. Docs. II. 208.
2. Parkman, Pioneers of France 337.

Previous to [illegible] numbers of French, singly or in parties, accompanied by savage bands, had penetrated the depths of the wilderness. They went as [illegible] rarely did they meet with [illegible] more certain in their marriage, numbers of a tribe.[2] The government soon, resigned [illegible] strengthened as the traders [illegible] French, by adoption, became members of a tribe[3], their advice had great weight

1. N. Y. Col. Docs. II. 207.
2. N. Y. Col. Docs I. 626.
3. Narrative and Critical History II. 4.

in [illegible] council. They treated

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] different from the coldness

of [illegible]. [illegible]

them even while inspiring

them with wholesome terror.

[illegible]

repressed through [illegible]

[illegible]

for contempt.(1) "Martial law"

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible].

[illegible]

[illegible], [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1. [illegible]

surrounded by all the paraphernalia of the English criminal law. Such "coincidental [illegible]", the Indians carry [illegible]

[illegible] to plant colonies in America [illegible] are agricultural [illegible]. Strategic points were occupied. To this outlying [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] occupancy of lands. Thus [illegible] the most fruitful source of international disputes from a treaty of the year 1000 the distinguishing mark, [illegible]

to win the good will of the Indians, may be gathered. French families were to settle in the Huron country. Suitable lots were granted in which canoes were to be erected. Hunting and fishing were to be in common. Protection was to be extended to the French. A generous supply of goods to meet the wants of the natives and well paid to win their good will or command their services.

The relations thus established between the French and the natives continued down till even after the extinction of the Jesuit Order

claims of France".(1)

The English Policy.

In the article previously referred to, Governor Cass says: "If any restraints were imposed by the British [illegible] [illegible] [illegible], either in relation to their general conduct, or the price of their goods, [illegible] [illegible]. There was no attempt to provide a permanent residence for the Indians. There were no

(1) [illegible]

[illegible] to introduce agriculture as the [illegible]. [illegible] "[illegible] the [illegible] of the [illegible]". The general policy of the English is [illegible] [illegible], [illegible] [illegible], [illegible] [illegible], is without [illegible].

In their earliest dealings with the Indians, the original English colonial policy was quite different from that of the French. The English did not come for a transient trading expedition but to found agricultural communities.

[illegible] as they receded out into the wilderness, establishing their settlements or carrying on their traffic with the natives.

Transfers of Land.

In considering the [illegible] of the individuals of the colonists rendered them more liable to transgress than had they been under one ruler. No relation has been more productive of discord than that of the land tenure. Especially was this true among the isolated colonies

From the [illegible] the [illegible]
asserted right of ownership
based on the laws of nations.
The Indians were [illegible]
right of occupancy. Purchase
or conquest was necessary
in obtaining the dominium
title, later, the Indians
came to be regarded as
foreign nations, which [illegible]
[illegible]. One article of the
treaty of alliance was to
put the lands occupied by
the tribe under the protection
of the crown.[1]

When the colonists came to
make a bargain the tribes
were unable to define the
limits of their lands. As

1. U. S. Ind. Rep. [illegible]

of the tribes claimed all of the land within the bounds of another tribe or held certain hunting grounds, large tracts claimed by other tribes. No purchases were necessary or even thought inevitable. The Indians cared only for the game on the land. Price was unknown to him until the white man made purchases. Mr. Warden states that the [illegible] in New England, "We forget" he says; "that the value of [illegible]

resources and economic types.[1]
It is to be remembered that
the New England Indians
were reduced by the Iroquois
and did not at first impede
the progression of the English.

Purchase of land from
the Indians, instead of
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
missed by influenced by the
Federal Union - a dynamic
in use, resort to the Federal
Commissioners in 1675 confirms
the statement that there was
an element of justice in the

1. Weeden, Economic History of New England, I

part of the colonists in their early relations with the Indians regarding the land question. What he says of Plymouth seems to have been equally true of the other colonies. He says: "I think I can clearly say that before these present troubles broke out, the English did not possess one foot of land in this colony but what was fairly obtained by honest purchase of the Indian proprietors. Nay because some of our people were of a contrary disposition, and the Indians are in their estate easily provoked to part with their land, [illegible]

first made a law that none should purchase or receive of gift any land of the Indians without the knowledge and allowance of our Court---- And if at any time they have brought complaints before us, they have had justice impartial and speedy, so that our own people have frequently complained that we erred on the other hand in showing them overmuch favor".

The next succeeding Book of Laws for the New York Colony provided that no purchase of lands from the Indians after the year ---- should be

&c. of Carolina".[1]

Governor Burnet in 1721 sent the following order to his agent; "When you can pitch upon a convenient place for a Trading house you are to endeavour to purchase a Tract of land in the King's Name and to agree with the Diniecaw for it which shall be paid by the Publick".[2]

The policy instituted by Penn[3] seems to have been followed by the colony of Pennsylvania throughout its subsequent history. No differences have to be at-

1. Hist. N. C. I. 20.
2. N. Y. Col. Doc. V. I. 72
3. Penna. Col. Records I. 211

[illegible] parties should be prevented. No lands were to be sold any private persons by the Indians. Nor was any purchase valid except that made by them or his Commissioners.[2] They were required to submit to such ruling, not only that the land be bought but was subject to a fine [illegible] shillings for every one hundred acres so purchased.[3]

[illegible] Penn [illegible], he [illegible] were entitled [illegible] Penn's coming [illegible] much [illegible] precisely [illegible] some [illegible]

1. [illegible]
2. [illegible]
3. [illegible]

the strictness of the rulings
in favor of justice from the
two highest tribunals, that
that hunters on Indian lands
not purchased by the proprietors,
were fined fifty pounds or
more imprisoned three
months. For every offense
against the law regarding
the entry of unpurchased
lands, there was a penalty
& hunting unauthorized
and fraud, varying imprisonment
without bail.

Land, forming the present
site of Philadelphia, was pur-
chased by Thomas Holme,
President & the Council during
the absence of Penn in 1683.

The "treaty" was agreed to by the Indians in consideration of 200 pattern of manufacture, 80 pattern of duffels, 20 guns, 60 pattern of strouds nature, 20 kettles, 30 shirts, 20 gun-flints, 12 hair shoes, 30 pair stockings, 30 pair scissors, 30 combs, 30 awls, 20 knives, 2 dozen [illegible] 30 [illegible] of vermilion, 30 [illegible], 30 [illegible], 2 [illegible], 2 [illegible], 2 [illegible], 30 [illegible], 30 [illegible], a drawing knife, 2 [illegible]."[1]

[illegible]

1. Hennepin's Description of Louisiana, Vol. I, note 2.

"Her motive to encourage [illegible] was to prevent the interpretation that our coming thither is to [illegible] Russians in their country, but not to supplant and root [illegible] their race condition [illegible] a fierce dislike".[1] An [illegible] in the year 1705 provided that no person should under any pretext take possession of [illegible] [2].

1. Foreign Papers of Royal Britannia I 1687.
2. Laws of Virginia. 1705 I, II. sec. 2.

that the greater part of the premises
had been acquired by
purchases made in the name

founding a colonie.

[illegible] was the central
[illegible]. The influence of a
colony planted at Albany was
[illegible] by her sister
commonwealths. Sir William
[illegible]
[illegible], it was well received from the
first by the Indians. It found
a department which had been
[illegible]
services during the first years
were disregarded and
[illegible]
[illegible] expressed until 1750 when Johnson

1. N. Y. Col. Docs. VII, 19.

[illegible] Indian [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] Albany, in 1754, I
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
Congress it was [illegible] that each
tribe was to have a resident
agent who was to have an
interest in the trade and who
[illegible] [illegible]
particular district. The Superintendent was, in turn, subject to
[illegible] from the Crown. Indian
affairs to be
[illegible] [illegible]
government to settle interest
[illegible] [illegible]

1. D.-E. Hist. R. I. = 35c.

Trade.

The trader has always been the path-finder for civilization.

The earliest Spaniards visited
were told by the stories of the
west Indians that their golden
ornaments came from people
who lived far inland in three
directions. Early Indians
[illegible] (1)

Some of the north Atlantic
tribes had a currency, wampum.
This, together with the corn of
furs which they supplied
[illegible]

1. Force Tracts II 17, 2 f.

supplied them with presents.

By the aid of the iron utensils, the native dreams a much greater product. It is related by Lorenzana that there was a [illegible] which could sell five hundred or one thousand buckets at a time!

[illegible] were supplied the colonists [illegible] maize, plantains, [illegible] in small quantities [illegible] Dutch traders. In exchange the Indians brought their wares, [illegible] universal desire.

[illegible]

1. Hakes. Hist. Exch. p. [illegible] 20

other furs to a good market".

From this same report it [illegible] that the Plymouth Trade was under the direction of two factors chosen by the company. They were to have charge of the "cattle, coats, shoes, [illegible], [illegible] &c". It was also the trade, to make exchange for furs any skin there to [illegible]

The colony however, in 1626, purchased the right to trade and to continue by the yearly payment to the Company [illegible]. [illegible] that the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] buy a share and every father of a family might purchase as many shares as he had persons in his family[1]. As the colony was unable to meet [illegible] let in 1627 to a company of trading undertakers, for a period of six years. After this time it was to return again to the use of the colony.[2]

At the Hartford meeting of Commissioners from the United Colonies in 1644 various plans [illegible] discussed. Some of the Commissioners advocated separate colonial [illegible]

1. Mass. Hist. Coll. 5 s. III 213.
2. Mass. Hist. Coll. 5. s. III 226.

a "muting act" of the Parliament. There were those again who were willing to sanction individual grants, but not to the [illegible]. Finally five to six thousand pounds was thought a sufficient sum with which to begin the trade.

The conditions [illegible] were substantially as follows. Any man throughout the colonies was to have the privilege of having into the general fund at his discretion. He was to have less than twenty pounds [illegible]

V. Rockwell Col. Rec. vol. V. 22.

way to [illegible]".
This way of trading was to continue
for ten years. The Committee
was to report to the Commissioners
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
Each jurisdiction was to receive
such a portion as the
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
had given the Province
Pacific [illegible] years to
trading company.[1] The report

1. Index, Records III 53.8+.

Massachusetts acquired
ever increasing power in the
Union. While the original plan
of trade did not fail at the

[illegible]

1. N. T. Col. Deut. II. 2-3.

[illegible]
accruing to the country by
virtue of the impost of wine,
[illegible]

[illegible]

colonies by private traders.
[illegible]
from taking undue advantage
of the natives and [illegible]
[illegible]
mooted question. Licenses

[1] Mass. Records II part 2, 398.

[illegible] required for trading
[illegible]

The Virginia Assembly in 1637
[illegible] it [illegible] but it was
repealed two years later
[illegible] with the Indians
was [illegible] [illegible]
of the General
Court.[1] In 1676 all free
men were given the privilege
of trade without securing
restriction. Virginia again
found it necessary to limit this
freedom by ranging the Indian
trade under [illegible]
regulations [illegible]

This led to the [illegible] trial
[illegible]

1. [illegible]
2. [illegible]

transient [illegible] [illegible]
were to [illegible]
with [illegible]
by the [illegible]
in the different counties, on
the James, Rappahannock, and
[illegible]
and Northumberland counties
[illegible]. The meeting at
these stated places occurred
twice each year and the
fairs continued forty days
"and no longer".

The Indians were to be allowed
to bring any commodities which
they might think fit to trade, and
sell or truck, for the same
with the English, wanting duties,
but from whence soever [illegible]

commodities [illegible], any
Englishman who traded with
the Indians at any other times
or places was to be fined
[illegible] etc. Of these fines [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
the remainder to the [illegible].

Provision was made for
the appointment by the [illegible]
of a clerk, in case the county
[illegible],
of these fairs. His duties were
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
lies were similarly reserved.
Although fairs seemed a good

Indians were willing to make
[illegible] to supply them. How to restrain
the traders and keep the Indians
[illegible] easily solved. This became
the basis for frequent legislation
[illegible].

[illegible] to the Indian Commissioners
[illegible] of the Indians, [illegible]
as is 33 Massachusetts Bay Colony
[illegible] to any Indians, under the penalty
of ten pounds for every gun,
[illegible]

During the course of a century [illegible] the Iroquois, [illegible] by confederation in which they blended [illegible]

1. N. Y. Col. Doc's. III 243.

encroachment, & I have [illegible]
[illegible] pressed strongly to keep the
Indians hostile to all French
[illegible]
[illegible] need for much solicitation
[illegible] to hurt the English. In
the plan of Union for 1754 he
urges upon the Commissioners
from the colonies "that they
make such laws as they
judge necessary for regulating
all Indian trade." He adds:
"Many quarrels and wars have arisen between the colonies
and Indian nations through
the bad conduct of traders
who cheat the Indians after
taking from drunk, & then

great expense of the British
both in blood & treasure.
Particular colonies were so
interested in the trade as
not to be willing to admit
such a regulation as might
be best for the whole; and
to avoid it was thought best
under a general direction."[1]

Into the entrenched
institutions of these English
settlements, the Scottish merchant
still found it hard to
penetration of the Indian trade.
It involved loss of territory
beyond the line of white
settlement. The
Indians refused to
to

trade to Canada at any port. The
merchant is to give bond in the
penalty of £1000 sterling
that he will not trade to any
port or place in the
dominions of France, the duties of
which are to be paid in
Canada and certificates given
to the Naval Officer of the
Province; to insure the
regular and punctual
performance of the
above articles, he is to
keep all his books and papers
before the commission Officer
of the garrison and send all
committed to New York in
time. In addition, no trader
is to presume to carry on
trade unless he has a
license from the lieutenant.

[illegible] to call beyond the Cataracts.

It is worthy of note that the city owes for the commencement of the Commissioners [illegible] 1754, was undoubtedly to [illegible] some general plan for the Indian Trade. [illegible] the [illegible] the savages. Many [illegible] it said that in this treaty [illegible] that induced them to [illegible] colonies that rendered their final [illegible]

1. Colden Letters I 417 N. Y. Hist Soc'y. Colls. 18

Colonies.

In 1764 the Assemblies of the colonies were given the power to regulate the Indian trade. As this was not effective, New York in the year 1770 issued a call to the Governors of the other colonies and of Canada for the appointment of commissioners. At the meeting in July of that year, at Lower Sandusky, Pennsylvania was represented by [illegible] Virginia by [illegible] But as there was not a complete

1. Among the Books - Mr. England 7:30 Central Std. 262

it with that of the French.
Under the English the hospitals
induced the Negro of the
realm, discharged provincial
Soldiers, Batteaumen",

1. H. M. Corr. Ind. III. 242.
Pitt. Mem. Cor. Chatham I. 12, 2. 33.

Trading House System.

The method of trade with the Indians was in use for over one hundred years. After a trial in the colonies [illegible] the United States government. Its final [illegible] the Indian trade did not cease until the year 1822.

The term trading house [illegible] was as early as 1625. It was applied to the houses erected on the Kennebec River by some traders from Plymouth.(1) It has been noted, trade was in common at that time [illegible] commodities such as "[illegible] coats, [illegible]

1 Mass. Hist. Coll. 8 s. II 233

reason, "trucs &c", instead in the trading house in [illegible] to meet the trade which had previously been carried on with the Indians by fishermen. By an act of 1632, the Court of Boston ordained that there shall be a trading house erected in city plantation, whither the Indians may resorte to trade, to avoide there comeing to [illegible] towne".

But the terme here not used with their fair intent until the year 1694. Massachusetts Bay colony in that year, through her governor, council, and representatives passed an act

for regulating the Indian trade. This document contains a complete description of the trading house system, as it forms the basis for the establishment of our future trading houses, I shall consider its provisions somewhat at length. All trade with the Eastern Indians was to be carried on "at the charge of ... the publick stock in their majesties' treasury within this province and for the benefit and advantage of the same". Truck-masters were appointed for, ... to be chosen by the ... and the ... [1]

1. "Province Laws [Mass. Bay Colony] 1692 I sec. ."

Truck managers of the trade were to have a stated salary and to take an oath that they would not engage in trade on their own behalf. Instructions regarding the trade were to proceed from the governor and council. From time to time the accounts were to be laid before the General Court. It was further provided that no person or persons interested, other than those in the employment as aforesaid, should directly or indirectly truck, buy, sell, deal or trade with any Indian or Indians under penalty of forfeiture of goods.

The original stock was
[illegible] pounds. Any gains in the trade
were used for the support
of the government". Upon the
renewal of the act in 1647,
it was provided that the
original investment with gains
was to be withdrawn in the
trade. The reason for this
increase of capital stock is
that the French shall by all
means be undersold". This
was [illegible] for the early establishment of
trading houses.

French influence expected
a more extended trade by
the colony. The friendship of
the Indians was [illegible]

to be maintained. In the year 1725, 4000 £'s were given for the support of [illegible] at the different truck-houses[1]. The [illegible] at wholesale prices and to sell their furs at the best market rates[2], [illegible] who violated either of these provisions was upon conviction to be fined [illegible], [illegible] various times until and during the [illegible]. The year 1753 is [illegible] in the history of this system, [illegible]

1. Province Laws Mass. B. Colony 1725 [illegible]
2. Province Laws Mass. B. Colony 1725 [illegible]
See acts 1731, 1733, 1737, 1742, 1753, 1761, 1764.

[illegible] acceptance of the method by the general government. Franklin was instructed agent of the Province on the 25th January, 1753 he entered a Petition to the Crown, complaining of their abuses at the hands of the private traders. Franklin was anxious to remove the cause for complaint. He wrote [illegible] for a copy of their "Truck-house" law and an account of its [illegible]". Governor Bourdon received the act we

[illegible] Franklin's Share in Establishing the [illegible]

rected in Massachusetts,
its direct especially on the
two points of the trading
house system: 1. Breeding
of mulcas in which they will
not only be employed to
undermine the French trade
but 2, will also remove
the influence of the private
traders.

Governor Dinwiddie, in 1754,
referred to the passage of a
similar trading house act
in Virginia. The reason my
noted 5th & 6th. To encourage
the trade. There was one
act first enacted. To regard the
reasons already cited for
the continuance of the act

commended its use to all the
colonies.[1] The trustees were
directed to [illegible]
[illegible] of the [illegible]
[illegible] activities, [illegible],
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible],
[illegible] [illegible].[2]

It is of interest [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible]
trade.[3] Her commissioners
formed a closer corporation
than did those of any other
colony. Their seal of corporate
[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible]
houses established. [illegible]

1. Journals [illegible] I 1-2, 1892.
2. Trustees' [illegible] [illegible]
3. Statutes of South Carolina II 1682-1716, 677-681

[illegible] 1783.

[illegible] connection [illegible]

[illegible] colonies and by the United States in so close, I shall consider [illegible] government. Trading houses, during and after Revolutionary [illegible] were opposed [illegible] [illegible] previous to that time.

We have noted [illegible] in the system in 1783. Two years earlier than this in a letter [illegible] concerning the gaining and preserving the friendship of the Indians" he [illegible]

have a good effect towards regulating the private trade, and preventing the impositions of the private traders, [illegible] therefore [illegible] to the [illegible] to the [illegible] to the northern". In 1776 congress accepted the report of the committee [illegible] and voted 14,000 pounds to be included in goods for this trade. The trade was to be under control of Congressional Commissioners. [illegible]

1. Franklin's Works, Bigelow Ed. II 221.
2. Journals of Congress, 1775, pp. 162, 168, 247.

they be allured by the hope of profit, but it will be enough for the United States to reimburse themselves only".

After being commended by [illegible] [1] [illegible]

liberal trade with the Indians.

[illegible] dollars were [illegible].

[illegible]

1. American State Papers, Ind. Affs., I, 583

[illegible]

that no trading houses were established, as an inducement among the Southern tribes, the tribes at the north had not come into friendly inter[illegible]. One of these houses was located [illegible] Creeks at Coleraine [illegible]

[illegible] of Congress II, 230ff, 283

need for trading in four military units.

up to this time and while there may have been some [illegible] in the system, in so far as it attached the nation more closely to the government and [illegible] warrant its continuance, it [illegible] by Congress to extend the time, using the same capital.

[illegible] recommended, [illegible] the Chief Agents for reclaiming the savages to civilization, it [illegible] trading [illegible]

engaged in that commerce-

satisfaction manifested by
the Indians of the Missouri
and the Mississippi valleys, not
their commercial relations
and their desire to

The original lines had not
exhausted but there was no
thought of discontinuance
[illegible]
the year 181[illegible], there had been
[illegible]
Bluffs, Mississippi territory;
Ft. St. Louis on the Illinois river;
Ft. Orange on the Missouri river;
Ft. Madison on the Iowa River;
Ft. Recovery on Red
River; Ft. [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] Mackinac Island [illegible]
[illegible], [illegible]
that the amount [illegible] survey claimed
in that [illegible] from 1807 to
1811 was 14, 17, dollars. The
[illegible] greater difficulty [illegible] communicating
with the sea-coast [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] given in this matter seems
[illegible] fully attested, but alone
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] experimental [illegible]

The causes for this remedial
[illegible] are numerous [1] and
[illegible] in a measure the
general instability of our
government at that period,
but it was to prevent the
influence over the Indians
[illegible].
The great instrument in the
English policy at this time is
it is decidedly noted. Their
treatment, as a government,
[illegible]
must respect. No person was
eligible for the location of
[illegible]
unless he was able to speak
some one of the Indian languages
[illegible]

[1] American State Papers Vol I., Indian Affairs, 181.
Indian Treaties 3rd & 4th seq.
Hist. Ind. Tribes [illegible]

on the minds of the natives. The [illegible] United States officials thought it not necessary to their interests to enable the tribe to contract with the Indians, by their own resources, of the evil influences [illegible]. Trading houses were established by the United States officials in conjunction with military posts. The vexatious interference of the officials in each of these, as to had not been clearly defined by much contradiction and [illegible] Indian commissioners. The superintendents were too often uninterested in their duties and [illegible] were [illegible] under [illegible].

[illegible] presents as follows: "From the issue last July to twenty-five chiefs, I am persuaded that [illegible] discontent. [illegible] ing, openly confess themselves dissatisfied with [illegible]." The [illegible] government, on the other hand, [illegible] about 20,000 dollars annually in [illegible] among the Chippewas [illegible]

[illegible], [illegible], [illegible] [illegible] [illegible], [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible].

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] in the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] of the traders. The Commission [illegible] investigation reported [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] of men's and women's coarse and fine shoes, worsted and cotton [illegible], [illegible], [illegible] [illegible], [illegible], [illegible] [illegible] [illegible], [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible], [illegible] [illegible], [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible], [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] been assured.

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] that no white man should be allowed

Indian Diary.

The Southern Indians were, in general, in a more advanced social stage than were the Eastern tribes of the North. The tribes of Florida were an almost distinctly agricultural people. The raised enough corn for their subsistence during the greater portion of the year. It is thought that the well cultivated fields of the ruined cities were still visible. This accounts for the more ready conquest of these unwarlike tribes by the Spaniards. It may also explain the more ready inter-marriage of the Spanish

I [illegible] the "[illegible]" [illegible] "[illegible]". In this [illegible], [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible], [illegible] [illegible] [illegible].

In the [illegible], [illegible] the [illegible], [illegible] to have gone further and to have allowed the Spaniards to treat their [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] to be taken to [illegible] anywhere and without any restriction". The [illegible] [illegible] this [illegible] [illegible].

1. [illegible], Spanish Conquest, II [illegible]

The unity of society was enforced by a higher morale than that which had sanctioned the life of the victim.

But another explanation — to a great extent true — is found in Massachusetts. "None of the laws of this colony were written until 1648."[1] As early as 1633, however it was thought by the body of freemen that the magistrates had acquired too much power. With their grange as a basis, they proceeded to frame the celebrated declaration of rights known as "[illegible]"

1. Pilgrim Fathers, p. 22, note 1.

articles of which it is composed,
two of them have especial
reference to slaves. Nine
provinces form the first
enactment to establish slavery in America, three
articles declared; "Negroes should
never be any bond slaves,
nor servants or Captives to
us unless it be Lawfull Captives
taken in just warres, and
such strangers as willingly
sell themselves or are sold
to us. ... No servants nor
strangers to be sold to
Judged thereto by Authoritie".

This may be regarded as
a restrictive clause. There
was later also

1. Mass. Hist. Soc'y. Coll. 5. 3. VIII. 231.

women & maid children are
disposed about in ye towns".

dealing with the Indian captives.
"I have with the
writes the author, "as nere considered
to
the devil inhabit their
carriages stem clear; & die, &
should deliver them into our
hands. We might easily

to the desirability of negroes [illegible] [illegible] of Indians for them was maintained by the United Colonies. In the early years of this [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] because of the cost of maintenance. The delinquents or their tribe might make reparation, if [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] ty or ptie[s] indamaged, either to serve or to be shipped out [illegible] [illegible]."[1]

Probably the first [illegible]

1. Plymouth Records IX. 71.

Slave law ever enacted in America was that passed by the United Colonies in 1672.[1] It provided for the return to his master of any escaped servant, and in case the master was prevented from following the escaped party, providing he secured the right of pursuit through a magistrate's certificate. Upon due proof of the right of ownership, the magistrate was to "grant" such a warrant as the case will bear, for the apprehending any such person; and the delivering of him or her into the hand of the owner "as shall be required."

1. Plymouth Records, V, 227.
Mass. Col. Records, II, 203.

[illegible] granted, [illegible] ... the charge thereof".

During King Philip's War, the [illegible] greatly enlarged. At a [illegible] ... [illegible] ... [illegible] ... it was concluded, [illegible] ... by deliberate consideration and [illegible] ... the greater number "Vote se militude".[1] It was further provided in 1676 that any who held Indian male captives were to dispose of them by the end of the year. Any who held their captives beyond the time stipulated were to forfeit them to the colony.[2]

A fiscal report of the United

1. Plymouth Records V 173, 174.
2. Plymouth Records [illegible] 1-2.

sions chiefly in the term of the
lease. Since Waite and Rogers
[illegible]
[illegible] Indian instrument in
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] were then sized by the
English. All such steam-dried
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
by the offending party.(1)

Troubles arose from time
[illegible]

(1) Trading Statute of Virginia [illegible]

[illegible]". [illegible]

In 1691 all former laws [illegible] were repealed. Indians henceforth [illegible] (2). [illegible] made a slave". Although "the [illegible] of 1691 implied that the Indian could not be a slave [illegible] trade; still it remained

1. Hening, Statutes at Large II. 34[illegible].
2. Hening, Statutes at Large III. 69.

[illegible] by the Treaty of [illegible]
1722 [illegible] [illegible]
to trade, the Potomac, [illegible]
[illegible] licenses. [illegible]
[illegible] of the Five Nations or their
[illegible]
[illegible]
plantations.[1] Many of the more
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
consequence, [illegible]
for any one place.[2]

1. [illegible] June 9, 1722, 8 S.C. [illegible] I
[illegible] Ser. I, 2-3.
2. Hening Statutes at Large II, 491, III 144, 401,

Shuckburgh to Lord Egremont, 1763

[illegible] into the hands of the English [illegible]

[illegible] Colonel Johnson [illegible]

[illegible] Chiefs of [illegible]

[illegible] Indians [illegible]

[illegible] Belt by the Indians [illegible]

[illegible] Belt, but [illegible]

[illegible] Belt [illegible]

[illegible] Hand, and as they are

[illegible] Friends & Allies

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Ind. Affs. I. 732.

[illegible] ing that we should upon them use as our slaves or Negroes."[1]

Connecticut [illegible] Indian slavery, [illegible] United Colonies, the General Court in 1676 ordered that all Indians who surrendered themselves within a stated time should not be sold "out of the country for slaves."[2] [illegible] ten years of faithful service. A law of 1715 while prohibiting the importation of Tuscarora[3] specifically all importations.

The general conclusions may be stated: That while there

1. N. Y. Col. Docs., VI 546.
2. Conn. Col. Records 1665-1677, 297, 485.
3. Conn. Col. Records 1713-534.

well aware of the amazement of the Indians in the colonies, that were not yet armed. Disarmed, uncultured in the land. The colonists feared to keep them and in consequence sold their captives into foreign countries. Negro slavery was unknown in the colonies. The colonists were educated in the armed defense of their homes by constant warfare with the Indians, which was of little effect as long as slavery was unknown.

Instrument.

was valedictorian of the senior class, [illegible] of the society. He graduated in 1918, giving the Valedictory Address.

He was elected Principal of the High School at Darlington, Wisconsin which position he held two years.

[illegible], he entered the University and began the study of history as his major and political economy and philosophy as subordinates. He took extra courses in administration and sociology.

He was awarded a fellowship in history in January 1892, [illegible] in June of the same year.

He takes this opportunity to acknowledge the many kindly suggestions and the general inspiration given him by Professor Herbert B. Adams, by Professor E. H. Griffin and by Professor George H. Emmott.

61543

Library

of the
Johns Hopkins University

STORAGE